



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/653,267	08/31/2000	Meir Eini	00/20309	4053

7590

07/11/2002

G E Ehrlich (1995) Ltd  
c/o Anthony Castorina  
Suite 207  
2001 Jefferson Davis Highway  
Arlington, VA 22202

EXAMINER

HAGHIGHATIAN, MINA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1616

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# **Interview Summary**

**Application No.**

09/653,267

**Applicant(s)**

EINI ET AL.

**Examiner**

Mina Haghghatian

**Art Unit**

1616

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mina Haghghatian.(3) G E Ehrlich.(2) Jose Dees.(4) Sol Sheinbein.

Date of Interview: 09 July 2002 .

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_ .

Claim(s) discussed: 1 .

Identification of prior art discussed: Vatter et al (6,224,888) .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr Ehrlich explained the invention and discussed what applicant believes to be the difference between the instant application and the prior art of Vatter et al '888. Mr. Ehrlich said that the physical properties of the composition claimed in the application are not disclosed in the prior art. Examiners said that the ingredients are the same therefore the properties of the composition are inherent. Mr. Ehrlich stated that by applying the composition to skin, a shear force is applied to the composition. Examiner, referring to the last Office Action, reminded the applicants that Vatter disclosed the preparation to be in the form of mousse or gel, which generally liquify upon application on the skin. Mr. Ehrlich at that point decided to change his argument by stating that the instant composition may not be applied to skin, and that the properties of the composition will still apply if the composition is merely sitting on the table and one is mixing it with a pencil. This argument was not acceptable to the examiners and Mr. Ehrlich said the applicants may file a petition.